Discussion of "Market Polarization and the Phillips Curve" by J Andres, O Arce, and P Burriel CEMLA XXVI Meeting of the Central Bank Researchers Network 2021

Garth Baughman

Federal Reserve Board

November 9th, 2021

The opinions expressed are the author's and do not necessarily reflect those of the Federal Reserve System, the Board of Governors, or its staff.

Thanks

- Thanks to the organizers for the opportunity to discuss this paper.
- Thanks to authors for the interesting paper.

What they Do

- Add rich Industrial Organization to standard NK model.
- IO considerations:
  - Strategic Pricing (Bertrand)
  - Endogenous Technology Choice $\Longrightarrow$ Firm heterogeneity
  - Endogenous Market Structure (entry/exit, number of firms matters)
- Payoff:
  - Gives flattening Phillips curve
  - Match other macro moments (e.g. great moderation)
  - Match large number of firm/IO facts.

My Understanding of the Basic Mechanism

- Standard NK Phillips Curve Logic:
  - Firms have market power: monopolistic competition
    - $p =$ markup over cost.
  - A bump in inflation lowers real value of (sticky) nominal prices
  - Lower real prices increase demand.
  - Hence $\pi \uparrow \Longrightarrow y \uparrow$.
- Suppose, instead, Bertrand competition
  - $p =$ cost of follower firm.
- Shocks to leader's marginal cost have no effect!
- (Suspect that this intuition is not what's really going on...).

Comments:

- What is really going on?
  - Can read the equations, see the new term...
  - But still lack intuition for *why*...
  - Is there an Econ101 story you can put in the introduction (like mine about why Bertrand matters?)
- What is really driving change over time? Talk about lots of parameters:
  - Tech advantage of leader firm
  - Elasticity of substitution
  - Changing cost of tech investment
  - Changing concentration
- Which really is changing over time?
- Now, only two tech levels.
  Could you do endogenous growth, à la Klette and Kortum?
- "Sustitutability" $\longrightarrow$ "Substitutability"